



Total energy decay for the wave equation in exterior domains with a dissipation near infinity

Kiyoshi Mochizuki ^a, Mitsuhiro Nakao ^{b,*}

^a *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Chuo University, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8551, Japan*

^b *Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Ropponmatsu, Fukuoka 810-8560, Japan*

Received 11 July 2005

Available online 18 April 2006

Submitted by P. Broadbridge

Abstract

We show that the energy of solutions to the initial boundary value problem for the wave equation in exterior domains with a dissipation which is localized only near infinity tends to zero as the time goes to infinity. We do not make any geometrical condition like star-shapedness on the boundary.

© 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Energy decay; Wave equations; Exterior domains; Dissipation

1. Introduction

Let us consider the exterior problem for the wave equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + a(x)u_t = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty), \quad (1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x) \quad \text{and} \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where Ω is an exterior domain in \mathbf{R}^N with a compact obstacle $V = \mathbf{R}^N / \Omega$. For $a(x)$ we assume:

Hypothesis A. $a(x)$ is a bounded nonnegative function on Ω and satisfies

$$a(x) \geq \epsilon_0 > 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq L,$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: mochizuk@math.chuo-u.ac.jp (K. Mochizuki), mnakao@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp (M. Nakao).

with some constants $\epsilon > 0$ and $L \gg 1$. (We may assume $V \subset B_L$.)

For each $(u_0, u_1) \in H_1^0(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ the problem admits a unique solution $u(t) \in C([0, \infty); H_1^0(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega))$, satisfying

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) + \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u_t(t)|^2 dx = 0, \quad (3)$$

where

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|u_t(t)|^2 + |\nabla u(t)|^2) dx.$$

Thus $E(t)$ is decreasing as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

When V is star-shaped we know the uniform decay estimate

$$E(t) \leq C I_0^2 (1+t)^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

where $I_0^2 = \|u_0\|_{H_1}^2 + \|u_1\|^2$ (cf. Mochizuki and Nakazawa [6]). Note that estimate (4) never implies an exponential decay of $E(t)$ since I_0^2 includes $\|u_0\|^2$, L^2 norm of u_0 .

More generally, following Russell [11] we set for $x_0 \in R^N$,

$$\Gamma(x_0) = \{x \in \partial\Omega \mid (x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) > 0\},$$

where $\nu(x)$ denotes the outward unit normal vector w.r.t. Ω at $x \in \partial\Omega$, and assume in addition to Hypothesis A that there exist x_0 and ω , an open set in $\bar{\Omega}$, such that

$$\Gamma(x_0) \subset \omega \quad \text{and} \quad a(x) \geq \epsilon_0 > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \omega. \quad (5)$$

Then we can prove the same estimate (4) (cf. Nakao [7]). Here we note that V is star-shaped with respect to x_0 if and only if $\Gamma(x_0) = \emptyset$.

Concerning the decay of local energy $E_{\text{loc}}(t)$ for the usual wave equation (i.e., Eq. (1) without $a(x)u_t$) it is well known that $E_{\text{loc}}(t)$ tends to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in general exterior domains (cf. Mizohata [4], Iwasaki [2], Morawetz et al. [5]). Therefore it seems natural to ask whether or not the total energy $E(t)$ tends to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$ under Hypothesis A, without assumption (5). The object of this note is to give an affirmative answer to this question.

When V is trapping we cannot expect any uniform decay of $E_{\text{loc}}(t)$ (Ralston [10]) and hence it seems difficult to expect the uniform decay of $E(t)$ under Hypothesis A only.

For generality we consider in fact the wave equation with a nonlinear dissipation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \rho(x, u_t) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty), \quad (1')$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x) \quad \text{and} \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (2')$$

where we make the following assumption on $\rho(x, v)$.

Hypothesis \tilde{A} . $\rho(x, v)$ is a differentiable nondecreasing function in $v \in \mathbf{R}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and satisfies the conditions:

$$\rho(x, 0) = 0, \quad \rho(x, v) = a(x)v \quad \text{if } |x| \geq L$$

and

$$k_0 a(x)|v|^{r+2} \leq \rho(x, v)v \leq k_1 a(x)(|v|^2 + |v|^{r+2}) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \cap B_L, v \in \mathbf{R},$$

with $k_0, k_1 > 0$, $0 \leq r \leq 2/(N-2)^+$ ($0 \leq r < \infty$ if $N = 1, 2$), where $a(x)$ is a nonnegative function satisfying Hypothesis A.

Under Hypothesis \tilde{A} and the additional assumption (5) we can prove for general domains that the solution $u(t)$ of (1')–(2') with $(u_0, u_1) \in H^2 \cap H_0^1 \times H_0^1$ satisfies

$$E(t) \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_2} + \|u_1\|_{H_1})(1+t)^{-1+\alpha}$$

with a certain $0 < \alpha < 1$. (See Nakao and Jung [9].) This estimate is more delicate than (4). For convenience we call the dissipation $\rho(x, u_t)$ satisfying Hypothesis \tilde{A} as ‘half-linear’ dissipation. Here, under Hypothesis \tilde{A} only we shall prove that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(t) = 0$ for the solutions of problem (1')–(2'). Our result reads as follows:

Theorem 1. *Let $(u_0, u_1) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$. Then, under Hypothesis \tilde{A} , there exists a unique solution $u(\cdot) \in X_1 = C([0, \infty); H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega))$ with $\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \rho(x, u_t) u_t dx ds < \infty$ of problem (1')–(2') and we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(t) = 0.$$

2. Local energy decay

For any $R \gg 1$ we set $B_R \cap \Omega = \Omega_R$ and

$$E_R(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_R} (|u_t(t)|^2 + |\nabla u(t)|^2) dx.$$

In this section we prove:

Proposition 1. *For a solution $u(t)$ in Theorem 1 we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E_R(t) = 0$.*

Proof. For the proof we use an argument similar to those for the equation in the bounded domains (cf. Dafermos [1], Iwasaki [2], Haraux [3]).

Let $(u_{0n}, u_{1n}) \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \times C_0^\infty$ be a sequence of initial data such that

$$u_{0n} \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } H_1^0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_{1n} \rightarrow u_1 \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For (u_{0n}, u_{1n}) there exists a unique solution $u_n(t)$ of problem (1')–(2') in the class $X_2 = W_{\text{loc}}^{2,\infty}([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{1,\infty}([0, \infty); H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty([0, \infty); H^2 \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$. Further, by the finite propagation property we see that $u_n(t)$ has a compact support in \mathbf{R}^N for each t . These are standard results.

Now, by the monotonicity of $\rho(x, v)$ in v we see from the equations that

$$\|u_{mt}(t) - u_{nt}(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla u_m(t) - \nabla u_n(t)\|^2 \leq \|u_{1m} - u_{1n}\|^2 + \|\nabla u_{0m} - \nabla u_{0n}\|^2, \\ t \geq 0,$$

and hence, $u_n(t)$ converges to a function $u(\cdot) \in C([0, \infty); H_1^0(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega))$. Note that the convergences of $u_{nt}(t)$ and $\nabla u_n(t)$ are uniform in $L^2(\Omega)$, and the convergence of $u_n(t)$ is uniform in $L^2(\Omega)$ on each interval $[0, T]$, $T > 0$. We see further

$$\rho(x, u_{nt}) \rightarrow \rho(x, u_t) \quad \text{weakly in } L^{(r+2)/(r+1)}([0, \infty); L^{(r+2)/(r+1)}(\Omega)).$$

Thus, $u(t)$ is the desired solution of (1')–(2'). (The lower bound of $\rho(x, v)v$ in Hypothesis \tilde{A} is used only here.)

Therefore, by a standard density argument it suffices to show our assertion for the case $u(\cdot) \in X_2$.

We observe that

$$E(t) \leq E(0) < \infty \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

and

$$\|u_{tt}(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla u_t(t)\|^2 + \|\Delta u(t)\|^2 \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_2}^2 + \|u_1\|_{H_1}^2) < \infty, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty. \quad (7)$$

Further, by Poincaré lemma,

$$\int_{\Omega_R} |u(t)|^2 dx \leq C(R) \|\nabla u(t)\|^2 \leq C < \infty.$$

Therefore, by Rellich's theorem, for any sequence $\{t_n\} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ there exists a subsequence such that

$$\begin{aligned} u(t + t_n) &\rightarrow \tilde{u}(t) \quad \text{strongly in } C_{\text{loc}}(R; H_{0,\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)), \\ u_t(t + t_n) &\rightarrow \tilde{u}_t(t) \quad \text{strongly in } C_{\text{loc}}(R; L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\rho(x, u_t(t + t_n)) \rightarrow \rho(x, \tilde{u}_t(t)) \quad \text{weakly in } L_{\text{loc}}^{(r+2)/(r+1)}(\mathbf{R}; L^{(r+2)/(r+1)}(\Omega)).$$

We know

$$\tilde{u} \in C(\mathbf{R}; H_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega) \cap H_{0,\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)) \cap C_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbf{R}; H_{0,\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)) \cap C_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}; L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega))$$

and

$$\tilde{u}_{tt} - \Delta \tilde{u}(t) + \rho(x, \tilde{u}_t) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbf{R}. \quad (8)$$

But, for any $T > 0$ fixed, we have for large n

$$E(T + t_n) + \int_{t_n-T}^{t_n+T} \int_{\Omega} \rho(x, u_t) u_t(t) dx dt = E(-T + t_n).$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(T + t_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(-T + t_n)$ and $u_t(t + t_n) \rightarrow \tilde{u}_t(t)$ strongly in $L_{\text{loc}}^2([-T, T] \times \Omega)$ we know by Fatou's lemma that

$$\int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} \rho(x, \tilde{u}_t(t)) \tilde{u}_t(t) dx dt = 0.$$

Since T is arbitrary we conclude that

$$\tilde{u}_{tt}(t) - \Delta \tilde{u}(t) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\tilde{u}_t = 0 \quad \text{on } \text{supp } a(\cdot) \times \mathbf{R}.$$

Setting $\tilde{u}_t(t) = v$ (note that all of the first and second derivatives of \tilde{u} are bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$) we see $v \in C(\mathbf{R}; H_0^1) \cap C^1(\mathbf{R}; L^2)$ and

$$v_{tt} - \Delta v = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_R \times \mathbf{R} \quad (10)$$

with $v|_{\partial\Omega_R} = 0$ for all $R > L$.

Since $v(t)$ is an $H_0^1(\Omega_R)$ valued almost-periodic function on \mathbf{R} satisfying

$$v(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{on } \text{supp } a(\cdot) \times \mathbf{R},$$

we conclude that $v(x, t) \equiv 0$ on $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ (Dafermos [1], Iwasaki [2]). Therefore, we see $\tilde{u}(x, t) = \tilde{u}(x)$, independent of t , and

$$-\Delta \tilde{u}(x) = 0, \quad \tilde{u} \in \dot{H}_0^1(\Omega), \quad (11)$$

where $\dot{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\nabla u\|$. This implies that $\|\nabla \tilde{u}(x)\| = 0$ and consequently $\tilde{u}(x) \equiv 0$.

Thus, we conclude that $E_R(t)$ is convergent to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Remark 1. For the proof of Proposition 1 the ‘half-linearity’ is not used, and the result can be applied under a weaker situation, for example, if $\rho(x, v)$ satisfies the latter condition in Hypothesis \tilde{A} on Ω with $a(x)$ such that $a(x) > 0$ for $|x| \geq L$.

Remark 2. If we assume $(u_0, u_1) \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ the solution $u(t)$ in X_2 exists under the weaker assumption

$$\rho(x, 0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq \rho_v(x, v) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(x, v)v \leq k_1(|v|^2 + |v|^{r+2})$$

with $0 \leq r \leq 2/(N-2)^+$ and the conclusion of Proposition 1 holds for this solution under the additional condition $\rho(x, v)v > 0$ for $|x| \geq L$, $v \neq 0$.

3. Proof of theorem

Let $\phi(x)$ be a smooth function satisfying

$$\phi(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 2L \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega_L.$$

Setting $w = \phi(x)u$ we have

$$w_{tt} - \Delta w + a(x)w_t = -\nabla \phi \cdot \nabla u - \Delta \phi u \equiv f(x, t), \quad (12)$$

where we have used the half-linearity of $\rho(x, v)$.

We set

$$E_w(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\|w_t(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla w(t)\|^2).$$

Proposition 2. *There exists $\{t_n\}$ such that $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $E_w(t_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Since $a(x) \geq \epsilon_0 > 0$ on B_L^c we have from (12) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_w(t) + \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \int_{B_L^c} |w_t|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{2L}} |f(x, t)|^2 dx \quad (13)$$

and also, by multiplying the equation by w ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ (w_t, w) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_L^c} a(x) |w|^2 dx \right\} + \|\nabla w\|^2 = (w, f) + \int_{B_L^c} |w_t|^2 dx. \quad (14)$$

Note that

$$\int_{B_{2L}} |w|^2 dx \leq C \|\nabla w\|^2 \quad (15)$$

with some $C > 0$.

Then combining (13) and (14), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} X(t) + E_w(t) \leq C \left(\|f(t)\|^2 + \int_{B_L} |w_t|^2 dx \right), \quad (16)$$

where

$$X(t) = k E_w(t) + (w_t, w) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_L^c} |w|^2 dx$$

with $k > 4\epsilon_0^{-1}$.

We again use (15) to observe that $X(t)$ is equivalent to $E_w(t) + \|w(t)\|^2$ for a large $k > 0$.

Now, if our assertion is not true there would exist $\delta > 0$ and $T_0 > 0$ such that $E_w(t) \geq \delta$ for $t > T_0$. By Proposition 1 we know that

$$\|f(t)\|^2 \leq C \int_{\Omega_{2L}} (|u(t)|^2 + |\nabla u(t)|^2) dx \leq C \int_{\Omega_{2L}} |\nabla u(t)|^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\int_{B_L} |w_t(t)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{B_L} |u_t(t)|^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, there exists $T_1 \geq T_0$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} X(t) + \frac{1}{2} E_w(t) \leq 0, \quad t > T_1, \quad (17)$$

which implies

$$\int_{T_1}^{\infty} E_w(t) dt \leq 2X(T_1) \leq C(T_1, \|u_0\|_{H_1}, \|u_1\|) < \infty.$$

This is a contradiction to the claim that $E_w(t) \geq \delta > 0$, $t > T_0$. \square

Completion of the proof of theorem. Using $\phi(x)$ in the above we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|(1 - \phi)u_t(t) + \phi u_t(t)\|^2 + \|(1 - \phi)\nabla u(t) + \phi \nabla u(t)\|^2 \right\} \\ &\leq C E_R(t) + 2E_w(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $R = 2L$.

Combining this with Propositions 1, 2, we know that $E(t_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\{t_n\}$. Since $E(t)$ is monotone decreasing we conclude that $E(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Remark 3. Our theorem is true also for the Klein–Gordon type wave equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + m_0 u + \rho(x, u_t) = 0$$

with $m_0 > 0$. Indeed, in the proof of Theorem 1, we have only to replace $E(t)$ by $E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|u_t(t)|^2 + |\nabla u(t)|^2 + m_0 |u(t)|^2) dx$ and make some trivial modifications. Note that any solution $u(t) \in C(\mathbf{R}; H_1^0(\Omega_R)) \cap C^1(\mathbf{R}; L^2(\Omega_R))$ of the problem $u_{tt} - \Delta u + m_0 u = 0$ in $\mathbf{R} \times \Omega_R$ is also an $H_1^0(\Omega_R)$ valued almost-periodic function. For the decay rates of energy of the Klein–Gordon equation with a localized dissipation in the whole space R^N , see Zuazua [12], Nakao [8].

References

- [1] C. Dafermos, Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of evolution equations, in: M.G. Crandal (Ed.), *Nonlinear Evolution Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1978, pp. 103–123.
- [2] N. Iwasaki, Local decay of solutions for symmetric hyperbolic systems with dissipative and coercive boundary conditions in exterior domains, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ.* 5 (1969) 193–218.
- [3] A. Haraux, Stabilization of trajectories for some weakly damped hyperbolic equations, *J. Differential Equations* 59 (1985) 145–154.
- [4] S. Mizohata, *Theory of Partial Differential Equations*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1973.
- [5] C. Morawetz, J. Ralston, W. Strauss, Decay of solutions of the wave equation outside non-trapping obstacles, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 30 (1977) 447–508.
- [6] K. Mochizuki, H. Nakazawa, Energy decay of solutions to the wave equations with linear dissipation localized near infinity, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ.* 37 (2001) 441–458.
- [7] M. Nakao, Energy decay for the linear and semilinear wave equations in exterior domains with some localized dissipations, *Math. Z.* 238 (2001) 781–797.
- [8] M. Nakao, Decay of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the Klein–Gordon equation with a localized nonlinear dissipation, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 27 (1998) 245–271.
- [9] M. Nakao, Il Hyo Jung, Energy decay for the wave equation in exterior domains with some half-linear dissipation, *Differential Integral Equations* 16 (2003) 927–948.
- [10] J. Ralston, Solution of the wave equation with localized energy, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 22 (1969) 807–823.
- [11] D.L. Russell, Exact boundary value controllability theorems for wave and heat process in star-complimented regions, in: Roxin, Liu, Sternberg (Eds.), *Differential Games and Control Theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1974.
- [12] E. Zuazua, Exponential decay for the semilinear wave equation with localized damping in unbounded domains, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 70 (1992) 513–529.